IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Y. Kii CONF. NO.: 3397

U.S. SERIAL NO.: 10/797,743 EXAMINER: J. Repko

FILED: March 9, 2004 GROUP: 2628

FOR: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR HIGH-SPEED SHADOWING

USING SHADOW VOLUMES

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REMARKS: PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

The following remarks support Applicant's "Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review" filed herewith in the above-referenced application. These remarks constitute no more than five pages, and are being filed with a Notice of Appeal, thereby satisfying the requirements.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 9-11 were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,744,430 to Shimizu (hereinafter "Shimizu"). Claims 2, 5, 7, and 8 were rejected over prior art including the Shimizu reference. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Applicant respectfully requests review of the Final Office Action in the above-referenced application. No amendments are being filed with this request.

Applicant is filing the "Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review" based on the following clear errors and/or omissions in the Final Office Action mailed on September 6, 2007.

U.S. Serial No. 10/797,743

Remarks: Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review

Page 2 of 4

First Clear Error and/or Omission in the Final Office Action:

The Examiner has made a first clear error and/or omission at least because the Shimizu reference does not teach or suggest a graphic processing apparatus or method including "obtaining a coordinate region that is positioned behind the front-facing shadow polygons and in front of the back-facing shadow polygons," as recited in independent claims 1, 4, and 9.

On page 4 of the Final Office Action of 09/06/2007, the following sections of Shimizu were cited allegedly for teaching the claimed "hidden surface removal and shadowing processing section": column 18, lines 49-51 and column 21, lines 25-27.

Column 18, lines 49-51 of Shimizu states: "The region buffers 220-1 and 220-n store information on whether something is inside or outside a volume (region), pixel by pixel."

Column 21, lines 25-27 of Shimizu states: "The sort preprocessor (Z buffer) 110 outputs the polygon ID positioned foremost for each pixel, layer by layer."

In other words, the above sections of Shimizu merely describe that the pixels of an object can be either "inside or outside" a given volume or region on a pixel-by-pixel basis, and the polygon ID "positioned foremost" is outputted for each pixel, layer by layer.

Therefore, the Shimizu reference does not teach or suggest an apparatus or method in which hidden surface removal and shadow processing are performed in order to obtain a coordinate region "positioned behind the front-facing shadow polygons and in front of the backfacing shadow polygons," as recited in independent claims 1, 4, and 9.

U.S. Serial No. 10/797,743

Remarks: Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review

Page 3 of 4

Second Clear Error and/or Omission in the Final Office Action:

The Examiner has made a second clear error and/or omission at least because the Examiner has improperly equated "layer by layer" processing in Shimizu with the Applicant's claimed coordinate region "positioned behind the front-facing shadow polygons and in front of

the back-facing shadow polygons," as recited in independent claims 1, 4, and 9.

For example, on page 13, last paragraph to page 14, first paragraph of the Final Office

Action, the Examiner indicated that the "layer by layer" processing of Shimizu corresponds to the

Applicant's claimed coordinate region.

However, independent claims 1, 4, and 9 do not require "layer by layer" processing.

Moreover, the "layer by layer" approach to outputting polygon IDs, as disclosed in

Shimizu, does not teach or suggest an apparatus or method in which hidden surface removal and

shadow processing are performed in order to obtain a coordinate region "positioned behind the

front-facing shadow polygons and in front of the back-facing shadow polygons," as recited in

independent claims 1, 4, and 9.

Third Clear Error and/or Omission in the Final Office Action:

The Examiner has made a third clear error and/or omission at least because the Examiner

has engaged in hindsight reasoning by reading one or more limitations of the Applicant's claimed

invention into the Shimizu reference, and has not identified any teaching or suggestion of the

Applicant's claimed coordinate region "positioned behind the front-facing shadow polygons and

in front of the back-facing shadow polygons."

U.S. Serial No. 10/797,743

Remarks: Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review

Page 4 of 4

On page 14, last paragraph of the Office Action of 09/06/2007, the Examiner alleged that:

"In the Shimizu reference, if a polygon is inside a volume, then it is behind the front facing

shadow polygons and in front of the back facing shadow polygons relative to a viewpoint, and

vice versa."

There is simply no teaching or suggestion in Shimizu to support the above

allegation. In particular, the Shimizu reference does not separate polygons into "front facing

shadow polygons" and "back facing shadow polygons" as claimed.

In Shimizu, column 21, lines 25-27 merely teaches that the polygon IDs positioned

foremost are outputted for each pixel "layer by layer."

Applicant submits that all of the claims under final rejection are in condition for

allowance and should be allowed, and that the Final Office Action should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 6, 2007

/Steven M. Jensen/

Steven M. Jensen (Reg. No. 42,693)

Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge

P.O. Box 55874 Boston, MA 02205

Phone: (617) 517-5531

Customer No. 21874